

**15.4.14.** By Exercise 15.4.G,  $[Z] \mapsto \mathcal{O}(1)$ , and as  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  is nontrivial for  $m \neq 0$  (Exercise 15.1.B),  $[Z]$  is not torsion in  $\text{Cl } \mathbb{P}_k^n$ . Hence  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \hookrightarrow \text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}_k^n)$  is an isomorphism, and  $\boxed{\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}}$ , with generator  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . The **degree** of an invertible sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is defined using this: define  $\deg \mathcal{O}(d)$  to be  $d$ . (You will have already proved that  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_k^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  if you did Exercise 15.4.H; but we will use the strategy here to great effect in §15.5.4.)

We have gotten good mileage from the fact that the Picard group of the spectrum of a unique factorization domain is trivial. More generally, Exercise 15.4.K gives us:

**15.4.15. Proposition.** — *If  $X$  is Noetherian and factorial, then for any Weil divisor  $D$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  is invertible, and hence the map  $\text{Pic } X \rightarrow \text{Cl } X$  is an isomorphism.*

This can be used to make the connection to the class group in number theory precise, see Exercise 14.2.K; see also §15.5.5.

**15.4.16. Mild but important generalization: twisting line bundles by divisors.**

The above constructions can be extended, with  $\mathcal{O}_X$  replaced by an arbitrary invertible sheaf, as follows. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf on a normal Noetherian scheme  $X$ . Then define  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  by  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ . If  $D$  is locally principal, then  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  is a line bundle. Notice that in this case there are two different ways of interpreting sections of  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  over an open set, each with different advantages: as a section of the new line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(D)$ , and as rational sections of  $\mathcal{L}$  with constraints on poles and zeros given by the divisor  $D$ .

**15.4.L. EASY EXERCISE.**

(a) Assume for convenience that  $X$  is irreducible. Show that sections of  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  can be interpreted as rational sections of  $\mathcal{L}$  with zeros and poles constrained by  $D$ , just as in (15.4.5.1):

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}(D)) := \{t \text{ nonzero rational section of } \mathcal{L} : \text{div}|_U t + D|_U \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

(b) Suppose  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are locally principal. Show that

$$(\mathcal{O}(D_1))(D_2) \cong \mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2).$$

**15.4.17. A variation of the Qcqs Lemma.** The Qcqs Lemma 6.2.9, proved in Exercise 6.2.G, has the following generalization.

**15.4.M. IMPORTANT EXERCISE (TO BE USED REPEATEDLY).** Suppose  $X$  is a quasi-compact quasiseparated scheme,  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf on  $X$  with section  $s$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasicohherent sheaf on  $X$ . Generalizing Definition 6.2.8, let  $X_s$  be the open subset of  $X$  where  $s$  doesn't vanish. We interpret  $s$  as a degree 1 element of the graded ring  $R(\mathcal{L})_\bullet := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$ . Note that  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$  is a graded  $R(\mathcal{L})_\bullet$ -module.

(a) Describe a natural map

$$\left( \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \right)_s \right)_0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(X_s, \mathcal{F}).$$

(Possible hint: for quasicohherent sheaves, “tensor product has no need to be sheafified when restricted to affine subschemes”, Exercise 6.2.F.)

(b) Show that this map is an isomorphism. (Hint: show this map is an isomorphism in the affine case.)

Translation: Any section of  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $X_s$  can be extended to a section over  $X$  after multiplying by some appropriate power of  $s$ . And if we have two such extensions, they become equal after multiplying by another appropriate power of  $s$ .

## 15.5 The pay-off: Many fun examples

### 15.5.1. Fun examples: Projective transformations.

The fact that  $\text{Pic } \mathbb{P}_k^n \cong \mathbb{Z}$  has many wonderful and cheap consequences.

**15.5.A. EXERCISE (AUTOMORPHISMS OF PROJECTIVE SPACE).** Show that all the automorphisms of projective space  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  (fixing  $k$ ) correspond to  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  invertible matrices over  $k$ , modulo scalars (also known as  $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(k)$ ). (Hint: Suppose  $\pi: \mathbb{P}_k^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$  is an automorphism. Show that this induces an isomorphism  $\pi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Show that  $\pi^*: \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$  is an isomorphism.)

**15.5.2. Automorphisms of projective space are often called **projective transformations**.** Because of Exercise 15.5.A, in their incarnation of matrices modulo scalars, projective transformations are also called **projective changes of coordinates**. Exercise 15.5.A will be useful later, especially for the case  $n = 1$ . In this case, these automorphisms are called **fractional linear transformations**. (For experts: why was Exercise 15.5.A not stated over an arbitrary base ring  $A$ ? Where does the argument go wrong in that case? For what rings  $A$  does the result still work?)

**15.5.B. EXERCISE.** Show that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_k^1)$  is strictly three-transitive on  $k$ -valued points, i.e., given two triplets  $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$  and  $(q_1, q_2, q_3)$  each of distinct  $k$ -valued points of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , there is precisely one automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  sending  $p_i$  to  $q_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ).

**15.5.C. EXERCISE.** Solve these problems over an arbitrary field  $k$ .

(a) Find a linear fractional transformation  $f(t) \in \text{PGL}(2)$  that has order precisely 3 in  $\text{PGL}(2)$ .

(b) Show that any two order 3 elements of  $\text{PGL}(2)$  are conjugate. (Possible hint: use transitivity.)

**15.5.D. EXERCISE.** Suppose  $p_0, \dots, p_{n+1}$  are  $n+2$  distinct  $k$ -valued points of  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , no  $n+1$  of which lie on a hyperplane. Show that there is a unique projective transformation taking  $p_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) to  $[0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$  (where the 1 is in the  $i$ th position), and taking  $p_{n+1}$  to  $[1, \dots, 1]$ .

**15.5.E. FUN EXERCISE.** Suppose  $X$  is a quasiprojective  $k$ -scheme, and  $\pi: \mathbb{P}_k^n \rightarrow X$  is any morphism (over  $k$ ). Show that either the image of  $\pi$  has dimension  $n$ , or  $\pi$  contracts  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  to a point. In particular, there are no nonconstant maps from projective space to a smaller-dimensional quasi-projective variety. Hint: show that it suffices to assume  $k$  is algebraically closed, and in particular, infinite. If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ ,